

Agenda Supplement

Dorset County Council



Meeting: Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee

Time: 10.00 am

Date: 15 June 2018

Venue: Committee Room 1, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, Dorset, DT1 1XJ

Debbie Ward
Chief Executive

Contact: Denise Hunt, Senior Democratic Services
Officer
County Hall, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ
01305 224878 - d.hunt@dorsetcc.gov.uk

Date of Publication:
Thursday, 7 June 2018

7. **Public Participation**

3 - 10

This page is intentionally left blank

Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee – 15 June 2018

Public Participation

Questions

1. Steve Bendle, Weymouth Resident
2. Avril Harris, Swanage Resident
3. Philip Jordan, Dorchester Resident
4. Philip Jordan, Dorchester Resident
5. Debby Monkhouse, Swanage Resident
6. Giovanna Lewis, Portland Resident

Statement

1. Bill Trite, County Councillor for Swanage

Agenda Item 9 - Joint Health Scrutiny Committee: Clinical Services Review and Mental Health Acute Care Pathway Review - Update

Questions

1 Question from Steve Bendle, Weymouth Resident

We understand planning is in progress to combine spending on local authority social care and the NHS in Dorset (including Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch) through a shadow “Integrated Care System” with a combined Financial Investment Committee.

Tim Goodson has advised that new initiatives to be taken by the ICS include community hubs, replacing community beds in more accessible locations, capital grants to improve primary care quality and access, care home beds in East Dorset to meet short term shortages and designing a single “gateway” for health and primary care. He also advises the annual funding increase will be kept to 1%, which will need to cover these new initiatives, a 0.6% annual population rise, inflation of 2-3% and any other increase in the cost of provision including salary costs following the end of the freeze on NHS wages.

Dorset’s medium terms financial projection says: “in Dorset the combination of rurality, aging population and increasing focus from the NHS on reducing costs in Continuing Care, and discharging people from hospital is having a significant adverse impact on ability to contain costs.”

To meet the shortfall in funding for adult care in 2018/19

- Dorset imposed 3% precepts in both 2017/18 and 2018/19 raising £6.7m to cover 2018/19 adult social care costs
- the Government’s Improved Better Care Fund (iBCF) provided an extra £2.3m in 2018/19

But for the future

- DCC proposes a £4m reduction in adult social care spending by 2020/21 by “reviewing care packages”
- the Government’s contribution from the IBCF will end in 2020

Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee has the task of reviewing and scrutinizing the provision and operation of health services in the area of the county council which under the proposals for integrated care will in future be combined with adult social care.

1. On social care, what steps has DHSC taken to assess the adult care needs and the spending required to meet them within an ICS in particular

- what are the consequences for the quality of care of DCC’s proposed £4m saving to be achieved by “reviewing care packages”; how many fewer places will there be at day centres or what reduction in hours of care provided is anticipated and what added pressure might this put on health care?
- how much of this saving will come from contributions from individuals’ own resources or directly paid benefits?

- what problems may arise in combining the funding streams for free NHS health services and adult care packages which individuals may have to pay for?

2. If there is an adult care funding shortfall which means the ICS budget too will be inadequate, how does the Health Scrutiny Committee propose to discharge its statutory responsibility to hold the CCG and the shadow Integrated Care System to account in ensuring provision of health and social care to satisfy fully Dorset's need.

2 Question from Avril Harris, Swanage Resident

My concern is the likely fatalities caused by closure of Poole A&E and Maternity services.

Some serious, time critical, conditions cannot be stabilised in an ambulance.

1. With cardiac patients, SWAST assessed that in 2017, 140 patients' lives would have been endangered by the longer journey to RBH.
2. With Trauma, NHS England's guideline is 45 minutes to a trauma unit. SWAST say we can't get from Swanage to Southampton, RBH or DCH, in 45 minutes. 524 trauma cases were stabilised or treated at Poole in 2017.
3. With maternity cases, two thirds of Dorset babies born in hospital, (4,544), were born at Poole in 2016/17. 573 needed transitional care, and 492 needed Poole Specialist Neonatal care.
4. Figures for some other time-critical conditions: in 2017, Poole A&E treated 344 people with stroke, 125 with sepsis and 53 with meningitis.

Closure of Poole A&E would have meant a potentially fatal risk to all 140 cardiac cases. For the other conditions, some would have shorter journeys to RBH, but Poole is better located for the Dorset population as a whole. If even a third of these with time critical emergencies had longer journeys, that's 500 people at risk.

The SWAST Report about the impact on the Ambulance Service showed that at least 160 people per year who arrive by ambulance were likely to die due to longer journey time.

The methodology used is likely to underestimate risk:

- over a 4 month period, 3,000 people would have had longer journeys
- this figure does not include the 80% of maternity and paediatric, or the 15-40% of other emergencies, who did not arrive by ambulance
- SWAST did not analyse cases with the longest total journey time, but only considered additional journey time
- measures used to reduce from 3,000 to 700 the patients identified as likely to be at risk, are open to question, yet this still suggests 2,100 people are likely to be at risk over the course of a year
- just 150 cases were randomly selected from the 700. Some of these only had an additional journey of 2 minutes
- SWAST still found that in 34 of the 150 cases (23%), there was definite risk due to the longer journey time. A Practice Nurse with 17 years' experience looked at the 34 cases and assessed that about a third were in imminent danger of dying, including 3 of the 4 paediatric cases.

If these figures are applied to the 2,100 people likely to be at risk over a year, 483 would be at serious risk, and 161 likely to die, due to longer journey time.

I urge this Committee to fulfil its statutory duty and refer these dangerous plans affecting Swanage, and our million plus visitors, for Independent Review.

3 Question from Philip Jordan, Dorchester Resident

QUESTION ON DCH M&P for 15 JULY 2018 DHSC

Following the CCG announcement regarding 24/7 Consultant led DCH Maternity & Paediatrics Services at the start of the last 2017 DHSC;

& bearing in mind the current NHS Dorset ICS, along with e.g. such developments as the Acute Care/One Dorset approaches &/or initiatives:

Please, how can DHSC be certain of, & have sight of, what is being planned, delivered & implemented when: on the permanent fully accessible 24/7 Consultant led DCH Maternity & Paediatric Services set; along with related support & staffing & other necessary resources?

4 Question from Philip Jordan, Dorchester Resident

QUESTION FOR 15 JUNE 2018 DHSC REGARDING UPDATES SINCE DEC 2017 DHSC

This June 2018 DHSC Question set concerns the delivery of the Dorset NHS CSR i.e.

Starting it's initial active project work in Autumn 2014, the CSR's aim was for Public Consultation about a year later – a date amended after 2015's General Election, for more project work before the Public Consultation now from Dec 2016 to Feb 2017 – thus with NHS Assurance etc, it was CCG final CSR Decisions in Sep 2017 & DHSC scrutiny of the CSR Decisions in Nov & Dec 2017, so:

it could help DHSC if they had clear understanding of what has been going on anyway outside the CSR e.g.

regarding Vanguard &/or Dorset Care Record?
&/or

what has been going on since the CSR Decisions e.g. regarding Acute Care/One Dorset etc?

&/or

As network share specialist skills develop in Dorset between East & West; & as the Dorset Care Record seems ever more to link with that of Hampshire & the Isle of Wight,

could it be ever harder for DCH to link up with Yeovil – as the CCG had earlier proposed?
(but which now Somerset's CCG CSR recent start seems could render such a link impractical too?)

5 Question from Debby Monkhouse, Swanage Resident

Referral of CCG plans to the Secretary of State, and Task and Finish Group

Seven months ago, on 13th November 2017, DHSC voted unanimously and unilaterally to refer the CCG plans, including the plans to downgrade Poole A&E and close Poole Maternity, to the Secretary of State for Independent Review. They did so because there were concerns that these plans do not meet the Health needs of DCC residents.

At the Committee on 20th December, this decision was overturned by 5 votes to 3, following a process that has since been questioned by the BBC, residents and some Committee Members. A significant number of complaints were made about the Chair's conduct, some of which remain unanswered.

The subsequent granting of a full Judicial Review Hearing to residents trying to save services and beds has also begged the question as to why Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee has not referred the CCG plans.

On 8th March this Committee agreed to set up a Task and Finish Group to look again at the question of referral. The Group met for the first time on 1st May, but was immediately adjourned until after the Judicial Review Hearing.

The Judicial Review Hearing on 17 and 18 July will look at whether the CCG followed due process in making their decisions to cut services.

Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee's task is different. The Committee has a statutory duty to ensure that changes to services improve health services for DCC residents.

Whether the CCG followed due process, or not, in arriving at their decisions, it is impossible to see how the CCG plans to:

'Save' £229 million per annum against expected expenditure by 2020

Downgrade Poole A&E

Close Poole Maternity

Close Community Hospitals and/or beds in 5 of 13 Dorset locations

Close 245 acute beds

will make health services better for DCC residents.

Swanage and the surrounding villages will lose safe access to A&E and Maternity services, putting lives at risk, and increasing lives lived in disability.

4 of the 5 Community Hospitals that are closing or losing beds - Ferndown, Portland, Wareham, and Westhaven - are in DCC area.

There is no legitimate reason for Dorset Health Scrutiny Committee to further delay the referral of the CCG plans.

Please could the Committee consider referring the CCG plans as soon as possible, to support the Judicial Review that residents have been put in the position of having to fund and lead?

6 Question from Giovanna Lewis, Portland Resident

At your previous meeting I came to state the case for Portland's 16 Community Beds. Since then, I have attended CCG meetings, met with the Chief Executive of Dorset Health Care, and been invited to meet with Dorset's Primary Health Care Director.

In their Decision Making Business Case (which forms the basis plan for becoming an Integrated Care System on 1st April this year) the CCG tell us that, whilst Dorset is predicted to need:

- 657 more acute beds (36%) and 70 more community beds (17%)
they are actually planning to
- cut 245 acute beds (13%) and cut 136 community beds (40%)

because they intend to develop what they call 'care closer to home' – which briefly means the goal of keeping people out of hospital and discharging others much earlier, by increasing community health and care services – which in turn will likely will result in care currently provided by the NHS being transferred to already stretched County Council budgets.

Add to this that

- the BMA say 'care closer to home' is not being adequately resourced,
- the RCN say there is a shortage of district nurses
and
- early discharges result in more re-admissions,

it is easy to become concerned about some of the forthcoming consequences of this plan, not to mention Council budgets.

Cornwall was one Council that decided not to support the establishment of an Integrated Care System (previously called an Accountable Care System). However, Dorset became one on 1 April this year. Cornwall Council said that whilst they support the integration of health and care services, they have decided not to support the establishment of an ICS.

So, I ask all Councillors here today:

- 1 Have you had sufficient opportunity to fully understand what is being asked of you? Have you been given the time to ask your questions and receive clear answers from the CCG? (We all know how intangible their documents and presentations can be to the layman).
- 2 Are you satisfied that you know enough to make the decision to let these CSR plans go through unchallenged?
- 3 Will you consider finding out why Cornwall decided not to accept the establishment of an Integrated Care System?

I urge all Councillors here today, if you are in any doubt, then please use the powers you have vested in you to refer this matter to the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care.

Dorset's 766,000 residents all rely upon you to make the best decisions possible for us. Please be our best advocates and champions and use the power which is given to this Health Scrutiny Committee and refer this matter to the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. Thank you.

Statement

1 Councillor Bill Trite, County Councillor for Swanage

The issues concerning Poole A&E and the closure of Poole Maternity have been with us for many months and my position with respect to them has not changed throughout this period. I have never sought to enter into any debate over the organisation or reorganisation of clinical services themselves. That is well beyond my expertise. The only two things which have consistently bothered me have been and continue to be the following:

1. The potential adverse consequences of taking patients with life-threatening conditions from Swanage or elsewhere in the ISLE of Purbeck to Bournemouth Hospital, when such patients are at present taken to Poole Hospital. If and when this is to be done, there can be no doubt that on most if not all occasions the journey will take significantly longer, for obvious reasons of geography and traffic congestion. I have been alarmed to hear claims by those supporting the current proposals that there will be very little or no difference in such timings, but anyone familiar with the realities of south-east Dorset will know otherwise. As far as I know, ambulances do not (yet, at least) offer the same facilities as hospitals to make the additional time irrelevant. Under such conditions, it seems logical to me that lives could easily be at risk;

2. At least as important as the time taken to transport a patient to hospital is the time it takes to get an ambulance to the patient in the first place. I appreciate that the ambulance service is organised separately, but that's no reason to evade the issue since the patient is just as dead wherever, precisely, responsibility lies. Recently a constituent of mine collapsed in the street and had to wait from approximately 10pm to 2am for an ambulance to reach her in Swanage. She died shortly afterwards.

Considerations of health and precaution in respect of Swanage and the Isle of Purbeck therefore lead me to support the referral of the CCG plans to the Secretary of State.

This page is intentionally left blank